



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/732,879	12/10/2003	Lambros Apostolopoulos	22908.0000 6957	
7590 07/30/2004			EXAMINER	
James C. Simmons			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
The Law Office of James C. Simmons 11 Falmouth Lane			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Williamsville, NY 14221			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/732,879	APOSTOLOPOULOS, LAMBROS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.				
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 15-34 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/732,879

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 25-28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanton in view of Sturgis and Deck. Stanton, on pages 6,8,10 and 11, shows the claimed combination with the exception of not showing if his floor (20) is made up of flooring sections laid side-by-side, and an opening sized to accommodate both legs of his U-shaped member, and wherein the U-shaped member having legs of different length as set forth in claims 16,27 and 28. Sturgis shows a floor comprising a plurality of side-by-side flooring sections and J-bolts 37. Deck at 21 shows a slotted opening of a length to receive both legs of a Ushaped fastener. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Stanton to comprise a plurality of flooring sections laid side-by-side to facilitate handling of a flooring over a long span, as taught by Sturgis, for his U-shaped bolt to comprise legs of different lengths, as set forth in claims 27 and 29, as taught by Sturgis, to facilitate attachment to his

Application/Control Number: 10/732,879

Art Unit: 3634

cables, and to comprise a slotted bolt passage openings, as taught by Deck, to enable passage of his U-shaped member through his flooring.

Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mohawk, Sturgis, and Deck, as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Hutton. Hutton shows locking plates in figs. 9 and 10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the securing fasteners of Stanton with plates as a bearing means for bridging his apertures and locking his bolts to his floor.

Claims 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mohawk, Deck, and Sturgis, as applied to claim 25 above and further in view of Margartis. Margartis teaches attaching the ends of platform supporting cables by compression clamps 50 to his structure at 20 and further shows the use of screws at 72 to compress such clamps to his structure. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to attach the ends of Stanton's cables to his structure by compression clamps, as taught by Margartis, to suspend his platform from his structure.

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanton, Sturgis, and Deck, as applied to claim 25 above, and further in view of Potin. Potin in fig 4 teaches the supporting an intermediate section of a cable-

Application/Control Number: 10/732,879

Art Unit: 3634

supported floor by a cable having one end attached to his structure and the other end attached to his cable-flooring section fastener 33. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Stanton to comprise intermediate vertical cables, as taught by Potin, attached to enhance the support of his platform.

Claims 22,23,33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanton, Sturgis, Deck, and Margartis as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Hutton as applied above.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanton, Sturgis, Deck, and Margartis as applied to claim 15 above, and further in view of Potin as applied above.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanton, Sturgis, Deck, Margartis and Hutton as applied to claim 22 above, and further in view of Potin as applied above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is 703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Examiner

Art Unit 3634

ACS